

## **SURVEY PHD PROGRAMS**

Overall results report  
Academic year  
**2023-2024**

QA&Accreditation Office

December 2024




## Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024


### General information about students

#### Years of study:

	Respondents		Total enrolled a.v.	% respondents over enrolled
	a.v.	%		
1 <sup>st</sup>	41	25,9	46	89,1
2 <sup>nd</sup>	42	26,6	47	89,4
3 <sup>rd</sup>	42	26,6	47	89,4
4 <sup>th</sup>	33	20,9	41	80,5
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100,0</b>	<b>181</b>	<b>87,3</b>




**Respondents by course year (as % of those enrolled in active cycles): last 2 editions of the survey**




#### Doctoral program attended:

	a.v.	%	% respondents over enrolled
Economics & Finance (Ec-Fin)	58	36,7	87,9
Business Administration & Management (BA&M)	31	19,6	83,8
Statistics & Computer Science (Stat-CS)	23	14,6	82,1
Social Political Science (SPS)	22	13,9	91,7
Legal Studies (LS)	24	15,2	92,3
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>158</b>	<b>100,0</b>	<b>87,3</b>



**Respondents by PhD (in % of those enrolled in active cycles): last 2 editions of the survey**




## Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024


### General information about students (continue)

#### University of origin:

	a.v.	% 23-24	% 22-23
Bocconi	29	18,4	19,1
Other Italian University	43	27,2	28,9
Foreign University	86	54,4	52,0
TOTAL	158	100,0	100,0




Distribution of Respondents by PhD and by University of origin




#### Nationality:

	v.a.	% 23-24	% 22-23
Italian	75	48,1	46,7
Non-Italian	81	51,9	53,3
TOTAL	156	100,0	100,0



Distribution of Respondents by PhD and by Nationality




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

A. Logistical and organizational aspects

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10		a.v.	%			
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%					
1	The overall organisation of the teaching activities that took place during the year (in terms of logistics, timetable and lesson/semester calendar, etc.) was efficient and consistent with students' requirements.	9	6,3	13	9,1	68	47,6	53	37,1	143	100,0	15	7,47	7,29
2	The operational structures and equipment provided (library, PCs, study space, etc.) were compatible with the requirements of the course.	7	4,5	19	12,3	66	42,9	62	40,3	154	100,0	4	7,56	7,32
3	The State Exams Administratives Center staff were sufficiently polite, helpful (also in terms of opening hours) and efficient in carrying out.	6	4,1	8	5,4	45	30,6	88	59,9	147	100,0	11	8,33	8,16
4	Tthe PhD School Administrative Assistants Secretariat were sufficiently polite, helpful (also in terms of opening hours) and efficient in carrying out their tasks and in listening.	5	3,3	11	7,2	43	28,1	94	61,4	153	100,0	5	8,35	8,57

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2022-2023




Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. General course elements

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10		a.v.	%			
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%					
5	On the whole I am satisfied with teaching/research activities that took place during the year (with regard to the objectives and contents explained at the beginning).	8	5,4	10	6,7	66	44,3	65	43,6	149	100,0	9	7,73	7,47
6	On the whole I am satisfied with the sequence of subjects covered by courses during the year and with their overall co-ordination.	7	5,0	18	12,8	62	44,0	54	38,3	141	100,0	17	7,53	7,14
7	The overall workload for the year was well-balanced and realistic.	3	2,0	19	12,7	66	44,0	62	41,3	150	100,0	8	7,81	7,39
8	On the whole I am satisfied with the assistance and support received during the year from my professor(s)/tutor(s).	3	1,9	8	5,2	46	29,9	97	63,0	154	100,0	4	8,53	8,21

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y. 2022-2023



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

Analysis of evaluations expressed by respondents

B. (Continued) Study abroad period (section reserved for those who have had the opportunity to have an experience abroad)

Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
9	(In case you spent, during the year under evaluation, a period of time abroad for study, research or training) On the whole I am satisfied with this experience and with its possible impact on my professional future.	1	3,1	2	6,3	6	18,8	23	71,9	32	100,0	-	8,69	7,88
10	(In case you spent, during the year under evaluation, a period of time abroad for study, research or training) I found the organization of such stay satisfactory.	2	7,1	2	7,1	5	17,9	19	67,9	28	100,0	-	8,25	7,12

C. Doctoral Theses (section reserved for doctoral students in the last 2 years of the program)


Item	Answers	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10						
		a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
13	On the whole I am satisfied with my PhD thesis experience and with the assistance and support I received for its accomplishment.	0	0,0	1	2,6	17	44,7	20	52,6	38	100,0	-	8,39	7,57

Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9,10 e 13 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

### D. Overall evaluation

Item	Strongly disagree		Disagree		Agree		Strongly agree		Total		N.A.	Average 23-24	Average 22-23
	Answers		1-2		3-4-5		6-7-8		9-10				
	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%	a.v.	%			
14 On the whole I am satisfied with the year of PhD activity.	6	3,9	13	8,4	68	44,2	67	43,5	154	100,0	4	7,81	7,43

Comparison of mean values of item responses by individual program - a.y.2023-2024





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9,10 e 13 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Economics & Finance





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.



Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Business Administration & Management





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024

Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Statistics and Computer Science





Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.

Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024



Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD

PhD in Social and Political Science



Note: The averages per PhD for items 9 and 10 are shown only if at least 3 valid judgments were made, referring to the same PhD.

**Teaching evaluation survey, PhD Programs, A.Y. 2023-2024**  
**Frameworks of average evaluations by PhD**  
**PhD in Legal Studies**



Nota: Le medie per PhD relative agli item 9 e 10 sono indicate solo se sono stati espressi almeno 3 giudizi validi, con riferimento allo stesso Dottorato.